Navigating the 5.0 transitions: Strategic management insights from the HF/E perspective

IEA 2024, session: Sustainable development 2; 27.8.2024, 15-16:30

contributors: Adj. prof. Arto Reiman (Univ. Oulu, IEM); Res. Prof., Dr. Jari Kaivo-oja (Univ. Turku, Finland Futures Research Centre); Dr. Med., Adj. Prof. Esa-Pekka Takala & Process expert Elina Parviainen

Industrial revolutions and the development of technologies and human work



Agriculture, craftmanship... Mechanized factories... Labor-assisted machinery and mass production... automatization... Smart factories...

18th century

21st century

21st century

- · Images created with AI Canva image generator
- European Commission (2021): <u>What is Industry 5.0?</u>:
- Forbes (2022): What Is Industry 5.0 And How It Will Radically Change Your Business Strategy?
- Business Finland (2021): From Industry X to Industry 6.0

HF/E and sustainable technology transitions

- Our ERGO2030 research group has focused on (dynamic) organizational capabilities for successful new technology adoption in the manufacturing company context.
- · Lately we have been studying:
 - what kinds of HF/E data manufacturing companies collect and utilize for process development purposes and
 - How is HF/E present when selecting organizational Key performance indicators (KPIs)
- Reiman et al. (2021): <u>Human factors and ergonomics in manufacturing in the</u> industry 4.0 context – A scoping review. Technol. Soc.
- Reiman et al. (2023) <u>Human Work in the Shift to Industry 4.0: A Road Map to the</u> <u>Management of Technological Changes in Manufacturing.</u> Int. J. Prod. Res.





Amounts of accidents/fatalities/first-aid cases/incidents/injuries/illnesses And so on...

Well-being at work surveys

We know from the organizational management perspective how difficult it is to simultaneously manage well-being at work and labor productivity

Cycle times

Production capacity Material consumption

Quality ratio

Customer claims/complaints

Number of pieces produced And so on...

Overall equipment efficiency/effectiveness

Any of those measuring wellbeing & prod. Simultaneously?

• More on HF/E indicators from the company perspective, see: Reiman et al. Human work in strategic technology transitions in manufacturing. International Journal of Human Factors and Ergonomics. In press.

Ergonomics assessments Work environmental conditions Safety observations Employee satisfaction

> Various indicators/KPIs available... Finding a suitable mix of leading & lagging indicators is a managerial challenge





Can such a large system be examined from the HF/E perspective? (and of course should it be...)

Consider Finland as a nation as a sociotechnical system entity

Of course one might also ask that why should it be...







"you can't manage what you don't measure"

How do we follow the development?

		OECD	World Bank	IMF	EUROSTAT	ILO	EU OSHA
Productivity indicators	GDP per hour worked	Х	Х	Х		Х	
	Employment/ unemployment rate	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	
	Annual hours worked per employee	Х			Х	Х	Х
	Labour force participation rate	Х	Х		Х		
	Labour productivity - volume of output produced per unit of labor input	Х			Х	Х	
	Labour costs					Х	
	Total factor productivity	Х					
	Multifactor productivity	Х					
	Educational attainment level	Х	Х			Х	
Well-being indicators	Occupational accident and injuries*	Х			Х	Х	Х
	Occupational diseases	Х			Х	Х	
	Inspectors per 10 000 employed persons/Inspector visits					Х	Х
	Time pressure						Х
	Vibrations, loud noise and temperature						Х
	Exposure to dangerous substances						Х
	Ergonomics risks						Х

* This is purposedly a wide term, including a variety of lagging indicators describing occupational accidents by total numbers, frequencies, days lost and cases per different variables (like sex, age,

economics activity).

To avoid measuring too much or wrong issues, the KPIs should be selected wisely fitting the strategic purposes

- Is HF/E present in these?
- Should it be?
- What would then be the indicators?
- Who should choose them?

nan/ University of Oulu

 $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}$

...The new industrial strategy would need to be based on totally new targets and indicators for business performance and sustainability in business models rather than the rather "classical" headline indicators of competitiveness...*

Is there room (should there be) for HF/E experts in this discussion?

...Industry 5.0 should orient towards the optimization of more appropriate measures that reflect contemporary economic thinking, such as ... and valuing human and natural capital...* ...Policy and measurement of economic activity need to place greater emphasis on the material/real economy over financial metrics and short-term profiteering...*

*As discussed in the EU Industry 5.0, a transformative vision for Europe publication. Arto Reiman/University of Oulu

11