Protection of Face against Cooling while Using Powered Air Purifying Respirators in the Cold

Jenni Kaisto, Kirsi Jussila and Sirkka Rissanen

10th European Conference on Protective Clothing, 12th May 2023, Arnhem, the Netherlands



Työsuojelurahasto Arbetarskyddsfonden The Finnish Work Environment Fund

Respiratory Protective Devices (RPDs) in the Cold

- RPDs protect airways from airborne particles and gases
- RPDs are frequently used in several outdoor professions for example in mining, construction and industrial work
- Cold ambient temperature complicates the use of RPDs
 - Fogging and icing of goggles and visors
 - Moisture condensation inside the mask
 - Facial cooling



Facial cooling while using RPDs in the cold

- Non-powered respirators
 - Protect face from cooling
- Powered air purifying respirators (PAPRs)
 - Air flow in response to breathing rhythm
 - Continuous air flow

→ severe facial cooling (Mäkelä et al. 2019)

• Skin temperature decreament to lower than 15 °C is uncomfortable and even painful (Rissanen et al. 2017)

40min exposure at -20 °C, 10 subjects

Minimum mean face skin temperatures





25

Mean face skin temperature: average of forehead, cheek, nose and chin temperatures

Protection of face against cooling

- Aim of the study was to compare different facial cold protections used together with loose-fitting PAPR
- Facial cold protections
 - Merino wool balaclava (WO 75%, PP 22%, PA 3%)
 - Windproof balaclava (PES 96%, EL 4%)
 - FIOH Face Protection against Cold (own design)









Cold protection	Thickness (mm)	Air permeability (mm/s)	I hermal insulation (m²K/W)
Merino wool balaclava	1.0	1202	0.089
Windproof balaclava	1.6	6	0.091
FIOH Face Protection against Cold	2.3	504	0.078

FIOH Face Protection against Cold

- Covers only the facial area
- Compatible with earmuffs
- Mouth exposed
 - no moisture condensation on the fabric
- Design: attachment to the headband of the helmet-integrated PAPR



Methods

- 5 subjects (3 females, 2 males) wore PAPR integrated with a helmet at an ambient temperature of -10°C, wind speed 2 m/s
- 50-min trial consisted of 10min rest, 25min walking at 4 km/h, 15min rest
- Skin temperatures of forehead, cheek, nose and chin were measured
 - Mean face skin temperature (MFST) was calculated as the average of four skin temperatures
- Facial and whole-body thermal sensations were recorded at the beginning of test and at the end of each task



Results

- MFST lowered to
 - 14.95 \pm 0.86 °C without protection
 - 18.09 \pm 3.89 °C with merino wool balaclava
 - 19.43 \pm 2.37 °C with windproof balaclava
 - 19.18 ± 1.25 °C with FIOH Face Protection
- MFST at the end of the test was ~4 °C higher while facial cold protection was worn compared to without protection
- Without protection face was perceived as "cool" or "cold"
- With cold protection face was "slightly cold" or "neutral"



Time (min)

Conclusions

- Facial cooling was severe while no protection was worn under the PAPR
- Cooling of the face was reduced while cold protection was worn under the facepiece of PAPR
 - Cold protection was at the same level regardless the tested thermal protections varied by design, thickness, air permeability and fabric content
 - Facial cold protections do not affect the protection efficiency of the respirator (Mäkelä et al. 2019)
- Facial cold protection can be used together with loose-fitting PAPRs
- Design of facial cold protection should be compatible with other PPE worn on the head area
 - Presented FIOH Face Protection against Cold is compatible with earmuffs



Työsuojelurahasto Arbetarskyddsfonden The Finnish Work Environment Fund

Thank you!

Laboratory of Thermal and Clothing Physiology

@Jkaisto, jenni.kaisto@ttl.fi











